

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,305	07/23/2003	Arnold P. Nerenberg	NERE-3692	8392	
5409	7590 10/0	/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER	
ARLEN L.	OLSEN R, OLSEN & WAT	WARE, DE	WARE, DEBORAH K		
3 LEAR JET	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 201		1651	1651		
LATHAM,	NY 12110	DATE MAILED: 10/06/200	DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/625,305	NERENBERG, ARNOLD P.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Deborah K. Ware	1651
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til I reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3 3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	 Chis action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) /-3/ is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) /-3/ is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		,
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cort 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the line drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See rection is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the internation for a line in	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		. *
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/625,305

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-31 are presented for examination on the merits.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on July 23, 2003 was received. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97.

Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cochran in view of Kato et al, McCarty, Riley et al, and Leslie et al., all cited on the enclosed PTO-892 Form and PTO-1449 Form.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,305

Art Unit: 1651

Claims are drawn to a composition, comprising aspirin, magnesium and nattokinase and either niacin or nitroglycerine. Also Coenzyme Q, lipoic acid and amino acids are included in the composition.

Cochran discloses a composition comprising Coenzyme Q, niacin, amino acids, vitamins, lipoic acid, see column 17, lines 25-68 and column 18, lines 40-45. Also note column 20, all lines and Column 22, all lines.

Kato et al teach a composition comprising Nattokinase, see the abstract.

McCarty teaches a composiiton comprising magnesium, see the abstract.

Leslie et al teach a composition comprising nitroglycerine, see the abstract.

Riley et al teach a composition comprising aspirin, see the abstract.

The claims differ from Cochran in that various active ingredients are not disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the ingredients of the cited prior art to provide for a composition since each ingredient is well known in the art. To combine these ingredients of the cited prior art to provide for the composition is an obvious modification and one of skill would have expected successful results. To vary the amounts is clearly within the skill of an ordinary artisan. Thus, in the absence of persuasive evidence to the contrary the claims are prima facie obvious over the cited prior art.

All claims fail to be patentably distinguishable over the state of the art discussed above and cited on the enclosed PTO-892 and/or PTO-1449. Therefore, the claims are properly rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,305

Art Unit: 1651

The remaining references listed on the enclosed PTO-892 and/or PTO-1449 are cited to further show the state of the art.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah K. Ware whose telephone number is 571-272-0924. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PATENT EXAMINER
Deborah K. Ware
September 25, 2004